

## **Nephilim / Giants and the sons of God – are they serpent seed?**

The last show we did on the Nephilim or Giants generated a fair number of comments and questions, it seems to be a sensitive subject that touches a raw nerve in many cases. I have always maintained that I present information that I believe to be true, information that I have carefully researched. If some among the audience disagree, then that is their right, I take no offense in such cases. From what I was able to gather from listeners who disagreed with me is the fact that the whole issue boils down to one thing that has nothing to do with the serpent or the sons of God or with Nephilim but rather with what actually constitutes the Word of God. As I have stated many times in the past I am a firm believer in the King James Version of the English Bible as being the unadulterated and perfectly preserved Word of God in modern times. So doctrines such as Serpent Seed in all its variants are just not supported by the KJV. It can be supported by extra canonical books such the book of Enoch, the book of Jasher, the book of Giants and the book of Jubilees to name a few. By the way there is more than one book of Enoch, so it needs to be qualified which one is being referred to when this book is mentioned. My article on the [book of Enoch](#) on my website lists the numerous problems and errors in this work that was purportedly authored by the patriarch Enoch. Jesus said that a little leaven leavens the whole lump, so a little error in any book will make the whole thing erroneous and not really worth the paper it is printed on. If extra Biblical sources confirm the Biblical accounts then we can learn from them, as for example from mythological texts but where they contradict the Bible we have to stay as far away from them as possible. The book of Enoch is full of accounts that contradict the Bible and I am 100% convinced that the patriarch Enoch was no more the author of this book than you or I.

So anyhow I received some questions from listeners that I have summarised as follows:

- Did God order the Israelites to specifically destroy the descendants of the giants only in Palestine, and not necessarily any of the human races?
- Were the 'Ite' nations such as the Amorites, the Canaanites and the Hittites, whose lands were promised to Abraham all nations of giants? Basically what it boils down to is, whether God ordered Israel to destroy these nations because they were genetically corrupted? Was this the reason for the displacement by destruction of the ten nations mentioned in Genesis 15? So the contention becomes that the cause of the flood, and of the Canaanite conquest was genetic impurity rather than spiritual evil of the heart.
- Was Egypt left unpunished although they had oppressed the Israelites whereas the Palestinian nations were judged?
- Were these giants Serpent – Seed?
- Were the sons of God fallen angels or the 'watchers' mentioned in Jer. 4:16 and in Daniel 4:17? And were the Nephilim their offspring?
- Was the serpent a snake, a beast of the field, or was he a shining one, an angel?
- Was the sin of the fallen angels of a sexual nature like that of Sodom and Gomorrah?

- What is the valley of Rephaim or the the valley of the giants, that in Biblical times was called the valley of the son of Hinnom?

There is a gentleman named [Mike Heiser](#) who is a Hebrew scholar who contends that the giants were the offspring of the sons of God and daughters of men. He contends that the serpent in Genesis 3 was not a beast as we understand it but rather a 'shiny one' a Luciferic angelic being. He further claims that the sons of God could not have been corporeal beings since they were divine beings and divine beings cannot be corporeal. He equates these sons of God with the 'Watchers' rather than fallen angels. There is a 9 part video series among many You Tube videos that this gentleman has done which explains some of his ideas in detail. He does not base his conclusions on the KJV but rather on the study of some Hebrew texts and extra canonical books such as the book of Enoch which seems to figure prominently in these doctrines. This is not meant as an attack on Mike Heiser but rather a critical evaluation of such doctrines so that listeners and readers can be prepared to address these issues which confront us frequently. There are countless other scholars with similar views but by dealing with this one gentleman's teaching we can pretty much answer all such hybridization and corrupted gene pool theories. If we clearly understand the identity of the serpent, and the sons of God, the theories of hybridization automatically prove to be false and therefore all the other questions such as the cause of the flood etc. become redundant, so in this segment the focus will be to determine what exactly is the serpent, and who are the sons of God?

To summarise my previous articles and show on the subject of Nephilim / Giants I do not deny that giants, and even hybrids, have existed on Earth in the past, and may well be present somewhere today. The question is the origin of these giants and hybrids that in my opinion dates back a long time before Adam. Giants I believe were commonly found across the planet both in the pre flood and post flood days till very recent times. In India, for example other than mythological accounts there are art works of carved stone in temples that clearly depict giants and some of these are recent, from a 1000 years ago or so. The other fact that is also obvious from mythological accounts is that all giants were not of the same type, physiologically or psychologically; they may not all have been the same race. Just as humans can range from 7 feet tall blacks to 3½ feet tall pygmies, so did the giants vary considerably in size. Therefore lumping all giants into one race is not reasonable; but it is reasonable to conclude that the different Biblical words such as Nephilim, Anakim and Rephaim used to describe giants may actually refer to their racial differences. They also do not seem to have the same demeanour and social skills; some giants were more like beasts than human, and some were no different than humans except for their size. My opinion is that the Nephilim were more on the beastly side of the scale, like mythological trolls, whereas the Anakim and the Rephaim were more civilized, constructors of megalithic structures with some sophisticated technological skills. The important fact to keep in mind is that they were all flesh and blood corporeal creatures not ethereal or divine.

Let's begin by examining the meaning of the word 'serpent' as used in the book of Genesis, chapter 3. The serpent is a translation of the Hebrew word Nachash and it is defined as '**A masculine noun meaning snake**'. Mike Heiser in his presentation contends that the word actually is an adjective and means 'shiny one' which he then uses to link to Eze. 28 and Isa. 14 that he claims are parallel scriptures to the Gen. 3 account. The exact scripture in Gen. 3:1 reads,

'Now the **serpent was more subtil than any beast of the field** which the LORD God had made.' In this context, the word serpent or **NACHASH** may only be understood as a noun not a verb or an adjective. If the serpent as a beast of the field means shiny angelic being should we also conclude that other beasts of the field like horses or cows or elephants are also angelic beings? God specifically identifies the serpent as a beast of the field, which also gives us a clue as to the identity of Satan as a corporeal being, or at least once having being corporeal even if he is disembodied at present. This becomes important in distinguishing Satan from the anointed cherub of Eze. 28 and Lucifer of Isa. 14, both of whom were ethereal beings from the time of their creation. Lucifer and Satan are not the same person, I will discuss this distinction in detail in a separate article and show. Isa 14 and Eze. 28 are also not parallel scriptures to Gen. 3 which will also be proven later; the Genesis 3 account is one of the transgression of man, not of the fall of Lucifer from heaven.

**Identity of the sons of God:** Mike Heiser in segment 3 of his presentation claims that wherever the term 'sons of God' is used it refers to divine beings not humans, as for example in Psalm 82. Jesus expounded on Psalm 82 that the word '**gods**' referred to humans not divine beings. **John 10:33** The Jews answered him, saying, For a good work we stone thee not; but for blasphemy; and because that thou, being a man, makest thyself God. <sup>34</sup>Jesus answered them, Is it not written in your law, I said, Ye are gods? <sup>35</sup>If he called them gods, unto whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken... I don't know how anyone can suggest that this was not a reference to the Israelite people; who were very much flesh and blood humans. Mike further claims that psalm 82:6 I have said, Ye are gods; and all of you are children of the most High' refers to the celestial beings, divine beings not to the children of Israel. But Jesus' reference to this scripture leaves no doubt that the reference was to flesh and blood humans, not to some divine celestial beings.

As a matter of fact the term son of God seems very much to refer to corporeal beings in the Bible. Adam who was very much human was called a son of God in Luke 3:38 Which was the son of Enos, which was the son of Seth, which was the son of Adam, which was the son of God. Luke 3:38 proves indisputably that flesh and blood humans that are subject to mortality can be identified as 'sons of God.' Jesus the only begotten son of God was never referred to as a 'Son' in his preincarnate form; he might have been referred to as the 'Angel of the Lord' but not as Son. It is not until He was begotten as flesh and blood that He was called Son. Therefore it is far more logical to surmise that the 'sons of God' are corporeal beings rather than ethereal beings. In a separate article on this subject I will offer detailed evidence that the Bible does indeed teach that the human race predates even the formation of the earth. Humans have existed since the beginning, not Adamic humans who came much later but flesh and blood creatures that are genetically compatible with Adamkind or modern mankind. This is the reason why the 'sons of God' in Genesis 6 were able to procreate with the daughters of Adam because they were both flesh and blood, and they were genetically compatible. The sons of God came from places other than the earth but I read nowhere in the Bible that there is no corporeal life outside of the Earth or the Heaven. Just because we have been conditioned to believe that there is no corporeal intelligent life out there in the Cosmos does not mean that this is a Biblical doctrine; on the contrary the Bible would seem to imply that there is all manner of intelligent life, both corporeal

and ethereal everywhere in the Universe. I do not believe that even a single planet or terrestrial body was ever formed that was not meant to be inhabited. The real fantasy is that there is no life out there; not that the Universe is filled with life, even corporeal human life.

Mike also claims that the sons of God were divine beings and therefore immortals, that immortality is an inherent characteristic of divine beings. In other words, all non – earthly beings are divine and therefore immortals. I would beg to differ because the Bible clearly tells us that 'Immortality is not the attribute of any created being, whether heavenly or earthly; it is only that of God'. **He alone has immortality. [1 Timothy 6:16](#)** Who only hath **immortality**, dwelling in the light which no man can approach unto; whom no man hath seen, nor can see: to whom be honour and power everlasting. Amen. Just because the 'sons of God' were not earthly beings does not automatically make them immortal. Even the angels do not have unconditional immortality, they are subject to death if they sin...So if the 'sons of God' sinned they certainly would die like men just as Adam started not as a mortal but was subject to mortality; if he did not sin he would have lived forever like God but if he sinned, he would die like a man. If the sons of God were truly humans, though not from Adam then all these hybridization theories and the origin of the Nephilim as their offspring fall by the wayside, and that is exactly what the Bible teaches they were, ET humans.

There is much confusion about the meaning of the word 'Elohim' in the Bible, and many strange doctrines have been formulated based on an improper understanding of this word. El means 'god', any god not just Jehovah El or Jehovah God; the plural form of El is Elohim. But what is that qualifies any being to be classified as an 'El' or god? Do they have to be able to leap tall buildings or be shape shifters or have lightning bolts coming out of their hands, in other words do they have to have 'supernatural' God like powers? The answer is No! Both the serpent and God Himself defined an 'El' as someone who knows good and evil, that's it. The serpent explained in **Gen 3:5** For God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods (Elohim), knowing good and evil. **Gen 3:22** And the LORD God said, Behold, the man is become as one of us, to know good and evil... Anyone can be elohim, corporeal or ethereal, who knows or has had the experience of good and evil. By this definition we are all elohim but that alone does not make us divine or immortal. So suggest that the sons of God were divine or immortal just because they did not originate on earth is not a valid argument; the ones that came to Earth in Gen. 6 were corrupt and evil. They had knowledge of advanced technology which made them appear to be 'gods' in the eyes of Adam's children but they were no more divine than the serpent who was their spiritual father. This subject of the identity of the 'sons of God' needs to be studied in much greater detail on its own and we will do so in the near future.

What exactly was the sin of the angels that kept not their first estate? Mike Heiser contends, as do numerous others who promote the serpent – seed doctrine that it was of a sexual nature and he bases this conclusion on the book of Jude, verse 6 and 7. <sup>6</sup>And the angels which kept not their first estate, but left their own habitation, he hath reserved in everlasting chains under darkness unto the judgment of the great day. <sup>7</sup>Even as Sodom and Gomorrha, and the cities about them in like manner, giving themselves over to fornication, and going after strange flesh, are set forth for an example, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire.

Mike claims that grammatically verses 6 and 7 are related; therefore the sin of the angels is the same as that of Sodom and Gomorrah, of a sexual nature. First of all the sin of Sodom and Gomorrah was 'sodomy', that is what is meant by the phrase 'strange flesh', it was not one of lust between members of the opposing sex. So to equate it to the sin of angels is not a reasonable conclusion; furthermore these two verses are not linked grammatically. Jude 6 and 7 are independent sentences; there is no conjunction between them.

he sin of the angels cannot be equated with the sin of Sodom. Isa. 14 clearly lists the sins of the angels and none of them was sexual immorality. As a matter of fact the subject of the book of Jude is the doctrine of judgement as a result of sin. Jude gives a series of examples, each independent of the other that resulted in judgment, regardless of the sin or the nature of the sinner, whether ethereal or corporeal. So to suggest that just because Sodom and Gomorrah may have been judged because of their deviant sexual practices does not mean that judgment is always a result of sexual sins only. The temptations of man are not necessarily the temptations of angels, who are of a higher order of creation than man. The word 'Estate' in Jude means a rulership, a principality, a dominion, and a throne. Scripture tells us that angels have dominions they rule over; this then was the sin of the angels, they left their own dominions and thrones to attempt to usurp the throne of Heaven exactly as proven in Isaiah 14.

Let's read Isaiah 14: 12 - 14

<sup>12</sup>How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! <sup>13</sup>For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: <sup>14</sup>I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. These scriptures clearly list the sins of Lucifer and by extension that of the angels; they are five in all and none of them have anything to do with sex with women or men.

1. I will ascend into heaven,
2. I will exalt my throne above the stars of God:
3. I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north:
4. I will ascend above the heights of the clouds;
5. I will be like the most High.

To give Lucifer his due, he wanted to be like the Most High, not lower himself to the level of man; the idea that these angels with galactic kingdoms would give up their thrones for flesh and blood mortal beings is a laughable theory.

The angels were eyeing the throne of the Most High God, they were not concerned with leaving what they had to cohabit with a being that was lower than them. The anointed cherub was perfect in beauty and wisdom, it is therefore doubtful that the assertion of the so – called Enoch that angels lusted after the daughters of men. It would be like humans lusting after baboons. The daughters of men were fair or beautiful only in comparison to other humans, not angels or cherubs or any other higher being. The Biblical assertion that the sons of God found the daughters of men to be fair would tend to prove that they were humans, with human temptations, the temptations of the angels as proven in Isaiah are of a far different order than that of men.

Moving on to the causes of the flood of Noah as well as the judgment of the promised land nations, was it a result of genetic corruption or the corruption of heart?

The cause of the flood can in no wise be placed on the 'watchers' that Mike Heiser identifies as the 'sons of God'. From Gen. To Rev. Judgment is always a result of man's evil, it is not even blamed on Satan. Even in the case of Job, God admonished Job, he did not blame Satan. The Bible says that the Earth was filled with violence that began with Cain, when there were yet no Nephilim or sons of God on Earth, at least that we are aware of. Why did the Assyrian, Babylonian, Persian, Greek and Roman judgements fall upon Israel and Judah, did it have anything to do with Watchers? He bases his conclusion on Jewish traditions and the book of Enoch, such conclusions cannot be proven Biblically, especially not the KJV. All such doctrines that rely upon church fathers or Jewish elders cannot be proven Biblically, that is the reason why they have to rely on extra canonical writings. Satan has written whole bibles, I doubt he would have any problem writing books that would be perceived to be biblical, the book of Enoch being a perfect example.

### **Isaiah 42 and Deut. 28 offer the reasons for the judgments that befell Israel and Judah**

Isaiah 42: <sup>22</sup>But this is a people robbed and spoiled; they are all of them snared in holes, and they are hid in prison houses: they are for a prey, and none delivereth; for a spoil, and none saith, Restore. <sup>23</sup>Who among you will give ear to this? who will hearken and hear for the time to come? <sup>24</sup>Who gave Jacob for a spoil, and Israel to the robbers? did not the LORD, he against whom we have sinned? for they would not walk in his ways, neither were they obedient unto his law. <sup>25</sup>Therefore he hath poured upon him the fury of his anger, and the strength of battle: and it hath set him on fire round about, yet he knew not; and it burned him, yet he laid it not to heart.

The curses of Deut. 28 were prophesied to come upon the people because of their disobedience and for no other reason, none whatsoever that had to do with genetic impurity or bloodlines.

28:14 – 15 <sup>14</sup>And thou shalt not go aside from any of the words which I command thee this day, to the right hand, or to the left, to go after other gods to serve them. <sup>15</sup>But it shall come to pass, if thou wilt not hearken unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to observe to do all his commandments and his statutes which I command thee this day; that all these curses shall come upon thee, and overtake thee:

When we compare the judgments that befell the Israelites especially under the Babylonians at the siege of Jerusalem, it becomes apparent that their judgment was no less severe than that of the Amorites and Hittites and all the otherites. Actually the judgment of Israel was more severe because it was much slower and cruel than that of theites. [Isaiah 1:9](#)

Except the LORD of hosts had left unto us a very small **remnant**, we should have been as Sodom, and we should have been like unto Gomorrah. Except for God's mercy, Israel would have suffered the same fate as theites and become extinct, did their judgment have anything to do with genes or bloodlines or giants or sons of God or hybrids?

Deut. 18 gives us the exact cause for the judgment of the nations because of their wickedness to the extent of burning their sons and daughters in sacrifice to Moloch.

Deut. 18: 9 When thou art come into the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee, thou shalt not learn to do after the abominations of those nations. <sup>10</sup>There shall not be found among you any one that maketh his son or his daughter to pass through the fire, or that useth divination, or an observer of times, or an enchanter, or a witch. <sup>11</sup>Or a charmer, or a consulter with familiar spirits, or a wizard, or a necromancer. <sup>12</sup>For all that do these things are an abomination unto the LORD: and because of these abominations the LORD thy God doth drive them out from before thee.

Mike Heiser makes mention of the valley of the giants, later called the valley of the son of Hinnom, and somehow connects it to hell, that the creatures who dwelt there were hellish serpent seed. However the real reason for the infamy of the valley of Hinnom from which we get the word Gehenna whose English translation is Hell is because of the fact that this was the valley where the Canaanites used to burn their children in fire to Moloch, a practice that was later continued by the Israelites, it has nothing to do with serpent seed.

Judgment in the Bible is never a result of bloodlines or human descent but rather because of the wickedness of the heart. Gen 6:5 tells us that the flood was a result of man's wickedness, why then people keep insisting it was because of the Nephilim or the sons of God or hybrids or contaminated bloodlines is beyond me. Genesis 6:5 And God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually.